## Medical Student Clinical Performance Evaluation - Medical University of South Carolina

Student Name:	
Rotation Name:	
Rotation Institution:	
Dates of Rotation:	
Preceptor Name:	
Preceptor Signature:	

Do you have any **conflict of interest** in evaluating this student such as the provision of health care, psychiatric care, or psychological care/counseling for this student or other academic conflict of interest?

I do NOT have a conflict of interest.

I do have a conflict of interest.

## Performance on Entrustable Professional Activities (EPA)

Gather a History (EPA 1)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
Does not collect accurate data Is disrespectful in interactions with patients Fails to recognize patient's central problem	Gathers excessive or incomplete data  Does not respond to patient verbal and nonverbal cues  Questions are not guided by the evidence and data collected  May generalize based on age, gender, culture, race, religion, disabilities, and/or sexual orientation  Does not prioritize or filter information	Demonstrates effective communication skills, including silence, open-ended questions, body language, listening, and avoids jargon  Is able to filter signs and symptoms into pertinent positives and negatives  Uses a logical progression of questioning  Incorporates response appropriate to age, gender, culture, race, religion, disabilities and/or sexual orientation	Obtains a complete and accurate history in an organized fashion  Seeks secondary sources of information when appropriate  Adapts communication skills to the individual patient's needs and characteristics  Demonstrates astute clinical reasoning through targeted hypothesis-driven questioning	

Perform a Physical/Mental Exam (EPA 1)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
Incorrectly performs basic exam maneuvers	Performs basic exam maneuvers correctly	Targets the exam to areas necessary for the encounter	Performs and accurate exam in a logical and fluid sequence	
Does not consider patient's privacy and comfort during exams	Misses key findings  Does not perform exam in an organized fashion	Identifies and describes normal findings  Explains exam maneuvers to patient	Can identify and describe normal and abnormal findings  Uses the exam to explore and prioritize the working differential diagnosis	

Prioritize a Differential Diagnosis Following a Clinical Encounter (EPA 2)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
Cannot explain or document clinical reasoning Cannot gather or synthesize date to inform an acceptable diagnosis Lacks basic medical knowledge to reason effectively	Does not completely explain and document reasoning  Proposes a differential diagnosis that is too narrow, is too broad, or contains inaccuracies  Depends on team for all management plans	Proposes a reasonable differential diagnosis but may neglect important diagnostic information  Recommends diagnostic evaluations tailored to the evolving differential diagnosis after having consulted with team	Proposes a relevant differential diagnosis that is neither too broad nor too narrow  Proposes diagnostic and management plans reflecting team's input  Provides complete and succinct documentation explaining clinical	
	Struggles to filter, prioritize, and make connections between sources of information	Explains and documents clinical reasoning	reasoning	

Recommend and Interpret Common Diagnostic and Screening Tests (EPA 3)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
Unable to recommend a standard	Misinterprets insignificant or	Identifies guidelines for standard	Recommends key, reliable, cost-	
set of screening or diagnostic	explainable abnormalities	tests	effective screening and diagnostic	
tests	Recommends unnecessary tests	Recognizes need for assistance to	tests	
Cannot provide a rationale for	or tests with low pretest	evaluate urgency of results and	Discerns urgent from non-urgent	
ordering tests	probability	communicate these to patient	results and responds correctly	
Does not discern urgent from	Does not consider harm, costs,		Distinguishes common,	
non-urgent results	guidelines, or patient resources		insignificant abnormalities from	
			clinically important findings	
			Explains how results will	
			influence diagnosis and	
			evaluation	

Document a Clinical Encounter in the Patient Record (EPA 5)

Provides incoherent documentation  Copies and pastes information without verification or attribution  Does not provide documentation when required  Produces documentation that has errors or does not fulfill institutional requirements (e.g. signature, date, time)  Does not document a problem list, differential diagnosis, plan and clinical reasoning provided idagnosis, and plan, reflecting a combination of thought processes and input from other providers  Provides key information but may include unnecessary details or redundancies  Provides a verifiable cogent narrative without unnecessary details or redundancies  Provides a ccurate, legible, timely documentation that includes	Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
ordering studies or treatment plans institutionally required elements	documentation  Copies and pastes information without verification or attribution  Does not provide documentation	list, differential diagnosis, plan, clinical reasoning, or patient's preferences  Misses key information  Produces documentation that has errors or does not fulfill institutional requirements (e.g. signature, date, time)  Does not include a rationale for	differential diagnosis, plan and clinical reasoning  Provides key information but may include unnecessary details or	differential diagnosis, and plan, reflecting a combination of thought processes and input from other providers  Provides a verifiable cogent narrative without unnecessary details or redundancies  Provides accurate, legible, timely documentation that includes	

Provide an Oral Presentation of a Clinical Encounter (EPA 6)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Poes Not Meet Expectations Building Competence Approaching Competence		tions   Ruilding Comnetence   Annroaching Comnetence   '		Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A	
Presents in a disorganized and incoherent fashion Fabricates information when unable to respond to questions Disregards patient's privacy and autonomy	Presents a story that is imprecise because of omitted or extraneous information  Delivers a presentation that is not concise or that wanders  Projects too much or too little confidence	Delivers a presentation organized around the chief concern  When prompted, can adjust presentation in length and complexity to match situation and receiver of information  When asked, can identify pertinent positives and negatives that support hypothesis  Acknowledges gaps in knowledge, adjusts to feedback, and then obtains additional information	Filters, synthesizes, and prioritizes information into a concise and well-organized presentation  Provides sound arguments to support the plan  Integrates pertinent positives and negatives to support hypothesis  Presents personally verified and accurate information, even when sensitive				

Collaborate as a Member of an Interprofessional Team (EPA 9)

Does Not Meet Expectations	Building Competence	Approaching Competence	Competent for Practice with Limited Supervision	Insufficient Observation or N/A
Has disrespectful interactions or does not tell the truth  Dismisses input from professionals other than physicians  Displays little initiative to interact with team members	Is typically a more passive member of the team  Prioritizes own goals over those of the team	Interacts with other team members, seeks their counsel, actively listens to their recommendations, and incorporates these recommendations into practice Listens actively and elicits ideas and opinions from other team members  Integrates into team function, prioritizing team goals	Actively engages with the patient and other team members to coordinate care and provide for seamless care transition  Communicates bidirectionally; keeps team members informed and up to date  Supports other team members and communicates their value to the patient and family	

## **Performance on Communication and Professionalism**

Communication	Major Concerns	Minor Concerns	No Concerns	If marked <b>Major or Minor</b> concerns, please describe here:
Communicates effectively with patients and team members				
Fosters therapeutic relationships with respect and empathy				
Demonstrates sensitivity in difficult conversations				
Demonstrates appropriate verbal and nonverbal communication				
	<u> </u>			
Professionalism	Major Concerns	Minor Concerns	No Concerns	If marked <b>Major or Minor</b> concerns, please describe here:
Always on time and has no unexcused tardiness/absence				
Adheres to ethical principles				
Demonstrates sensitivity to a diverse patient population				
Respects patient confidentiality in all settings				
Demonstrates honesty and integrity in all settings				
Demonstrates accountability for patient care and academic responsibilities				
Able to seek and respond to feedback in a positive and mature way				

**Overall Performance** - For their level of training, please indicate how this student has performed in the following domains:

	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall Medical Knowledge			
Patient Care Skills			
Ability to Communicate with Others and Function on a Team			
Level of Engagement and Willingness to Learn			
Professional Attitude and Behavior			

**Narrative Feedback** - Please summarize the student's strengths and areas for improvement.