Clarification Document

The APT Clarification Committee has provided information contained in the grid below to assist faculty members and department chairs in the preparation of appointment and promotion materials. It is critical that faculty members address the pertinent "required" and "suggested" areas listed below when compiling their personal statements. It is critical that department chairs also address the pertinent "required" and "suggested" areas listed below when preparing their letters.

When a faculty member is being recommended for tenure, it is also important that those individuals providing external letters of recommendation speak to whether the faculty member would be granted tenure at their institution.

Tenure considerations for current MUSC faculty: Justification of a request for awarding of tenure is distinct from a request for appointment/promotion and should be thoughtfully and thoroughly addressed. The MUSC Faculty Handbook states in section 6.04a, criteria for tenure, that "the faculty member must demonstrate competence and promise of long-term usefulness to the missions and programs of the University to be considered for tenure." Long-term value to the institution is a critical component and should be highlighted by the department chair in the tenure request; this could include specifics related to contributions to the educational, research, and/or clinical missions; contribution to strategic initiatives; international reputation; leadership roles and/or committee involvement; and the like. It is critically important for the department chair to clearly articulate in the packet and letter the reasons tenure should be awarded, emphasize the importance of the individual to the department and institution, and highlight their strong enthusiasm for the tenure request.

Tenure considerations for prospective MUSC faculty appointments: If a faculty recruit has tenure at their current institution, this does not provide an automatic guarantee of tenure at MUSC. The COM APT Committee would review the request for tenure and, if supported by the COM, the University Tenure Committee would then review the request for tenure, with ultimate authority held by university leadership. It is critically important for the department chair requesting tenure for a faculty recruit to clearly articulate in the packet and letter the reasons tenure should be awarded and, if tenure was awarded at the recruit's previous institution, the department chair should indicate the review process through which tenure was granted. Future long-term value to the institution is a critical component and should be highlighted by the department chair in the tenure request; this could include specifics related to expected contributions to the educational, research, and/or clinical missions; expected contribution to strategic initiatives; international reputation; expected leadership roles and/or committee involvement; and the like. Justification of a request for awarding of tenure is distinct from a request for appointment/promotion and should be thoughtfully and thoroughly addressed.

The term "modified" faculty, as contained in the MUSC Faculty Handbook and therefore used within the COM APT Policy, is an administrative term and is not intended to diminish the importance of the faculty members holding "modified" faculty appointments.

Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels. Examples of professional behavior include: treats colleagues, trainees, staff, patients, and others with respect and fairness; committed to honesty and transparency and encourages trust in all interactions; works effectively as a team member who is accountable to others, addresses unprofessional behavior, and is considered fair; understands own limitations and is willing to accept feedback and make needed corrections; sensitive and respectful of diversity including other's culture, age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and other identifying characteristics; maintains patient confidentiality, timely completion of notes and evaluations, and accurate professional fee billing; contributes to a culture of safety, including encouraging others to express concerns; unbiased acquisition, evaluation, and reporting of scientific information and adherence to university research regulations; and excellent citizenship.

COM APT Guidelines state the following with respect to letters of recommendation for appointment to Associate Professor or Professor on the Regular Track (Section V.E.9) and promotion to Associate Professor or Professor (Section V.F.9): "[Appointment/Promotion] to Associate Professor or Professor requires a minimum of four letters of recommendation, addressed to the Departmental Chair. Individuals selected to write the

minimum four letters should be non-MUSC faculty in the candidate's field at the academic rank of professor or its equivalent stature. At least two of these individuals should not be associated with the candidate by having been past mentors/teachers/students/trainees. The candidate may submit to the Chair or Chair's designee five names of individuals for letters from which two of the required ones may be selected. However, the Chair or Chair's designee should select at least two of the four required letters from sources other than the candidates list. Individuals should be contacted by the Chair (not the candidate) using a letter structured from the College of Medicine letter-of-recommendation template (See Appendix 8). The letter should be accompanied by the candidate's CV and copies of pertinent pages from these guidelines that list the criteria for appointment/promotion to the candidate's proposed rank." For clarification purposes, it is important to note that the above statement does not preclude a letter writer having been a co-author on a publication with the individual under consideration for appointment/promotion. Nor does it preclude letters in which the letter writer knows the individual under consideration for appointment/promotion; the guidelines are clear that two of the minimum of four letters must be written by someone "not associated with the candidate by having been past mentors/teachers/students/trainees", but do not require that the individuals do not know one another. The Chair's letter might provide clarity/clarification as needed to support that the letters of recommendation are provided by objective parties.

D. V-D - Criteria Matrix Ranks and Tracks

Text in BLUE, below, is provided as clarification to the existing College of Medicine APT guidelines.

R=Required S=Suggested

	Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
	Under exceptional circumstances, promotions may be recommended when the candidate does not meet all of the basic criteria. These will be unusual cases.												
	COM FACULTY RANKS CRITERIA	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
	Continues to meet all the criteria for Associate Professor with major accomplishments in research, teaching, and/or clinical service	R	R	R	R	R	R	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between four to ten years following appointment as Associate Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.
2	Distinguished career exemplifying scholarship. Excellence & productivity in research, outstanding success as a teacher, and/or	R*	R*	R*	R*	S	S		Evidence of outstanding success should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort		Evidence of outstanding success should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 15. Evaluations should be obtained from OAE or	Note that excellence in all of the categories (research, education, service) is not required. Outstanding service contributions, are expected to be the dominant category in	

Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
outstanding service contributions are required. Involved in teaching activities, including formal lectures, grand rounds, and/or continuing medical education. (Leadership in interprofessional teaching and interdisciplinary research encouraged)*								Report, Item 15. Evaluations should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source. Demonstration of outstanding, longitudinal/sustained performance and scholarly activity in education that must be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.		other appropriate centrally administered source. Demonstration of outstanding, longitudinal/sustained performance in education that must be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Excellence as an outstanding teacher and major contributions in clinical care are the primary focus. Examples could include service as a course director, a significant education role in GME or service as a mentor of at least 3 faculty/students. May serve as a CME Activity Director or organize a major conference with at least 10 hours of CME. May serve on a panel for a major national needs assessment and gap analysis or as expert faculty for a comprehensive needs assessment. These activities should have occurred since faculty was promoted to associate professor and should be within the last 5 years. Documentation of these activities is required.	the modified clinical track. This includes activities such as building and maintaining a successful clinical practice (evidenced by things such as RVU productivity, patient satisfaction scores, patient evaluations, and/or other evidence of clinical reputation, etc.); medical director of a clinic (or similar clinical activity), regular participation in the educational offerings, such as regular attendance at grand rounds, etc. Also leading initiatives that engage allied health professionals, or community.	
3 Principal investigator on significant research grants	R	S	S			S	Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered.	Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered. Research in education is valued.	PI of investigator initiated industry grant. Site PI of industry grant may qualify if PI is significantly involved in multiple aspects of: study design, implementation, analysis team, writing	Service as core leader or program project PI may also be considered. Research in education is valued.		Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered.

	Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
										committee /manuscript preparation). The significant role of the PI in these studies should be addressed in the Department Chair letter. In general, studies in which the investigator has minimal % effort (<5%) do not qualify. Exceptions are VA funding in which % effort is not allowed. PI of institutional grants (ie SCTR grants, pilot awards, etc.) or CDA (except K24/midlevel) would not qualify. Core leader or program project PI should also be considered.			
4	Co-investigator on research grants.		R	R			R		Funded research related to education would count toward this criterion.	be considered.	Funded research related to education would count toward this criterion.		
55	Direct involvement in research.	R	R	R	R		R	nature. This could include basic research, clinical research, translational research, educational research, outcomes research, and quality improvement research among others.	Research in this category could be expected to include multiple and diverse types of research and may be collaborative in nature. This could include basic research, clinical research, translational research, educational research, outcomes research, and quality improvement research among others.	quality improvement research among others.	Research in this category could be expected to include multiple and diverse types of research and may be collaborative in nature. This could include basic research, clinical research, translational research, educational research, outcomes research, and quality improvement research among others.		Research in this category could be expected to include multiple and diverse types of research and may be collaborative in nature. This could include basic research, clinical research, translational research, educational research, outcomes research, and quality improvement research among others.
6	Key individual in training of students, post-graduates and mentorship of junior faculty	R	R	R	R	R	R	"Key" indicates primary and sustained responsibility for trainee/mentee progress. Should be	"Key" indicates primary and sustained responsibility for trainee/mentee progress. Should be	"Key" indicates primary and sustained responsibility for trainee/mentee progress. Should be	"Key" indicates primary and sustained responsibility for trainee/mentee progress. Should be	Based on the clinical expectations of faculty in this track, it is not expected that faculty play a key role.	This would include training in the laboratory setting. Formal teaching not required.

	Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
								well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report or other similar document. These activities should have occurred since faculty was promoted to associate professor and should be within the last 5 years. Documentation of these activities is required.	have occurred since faculty was promoted to associate professor and should be within the last 5 years. Documentation of these activities is required.	Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, or other similar document	well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report or other similar document. These activities should have occurred since faculty was promoted to associate professor and should be within the last 5 years. Documentation of these activities is required.	Rather, individuals should be supporting the teaching mission through their activities, including preceptorship. In addition, role in leadership or supervision of a multidisciplinary team of allied health professionals (ie nurses, patient care coordinators, respiratory therapists, etc) would also count towards involvement in training. Training the "community" would also count.	
	Serves as Course Director for one or more major courses		R						Institutional equivalents for "Course Director" would include Themes, Elective or Required Courses/Rotations, and Units/Blocks in the Medical and Graduate Curricula. Should be well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report or other similar document.				
	Continues to carry a heavy clinical or teaching load		R		R	R			"Heavy" should be explained in the department chair letter and personal statement.		"Heavy" should be explained in the department chair letter and personal statement.	Heavy clinical load is the core requirement of this track. "Heavy" should be explained in the department chair letter and personal statement. It is expected that the definition of heavy will vary based on specialty norms.	

R=Required

	Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research	Academic Investigator	Academic Invest/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
9	Continued	R	R	R	R	S	R	Recommendations	In addition to the		In addition to the	While only suggested,	Teaching not required.
	publication of	IX.	K	K	K	S	K		publications noted, we			publications could	reaching not required.
	reviews, chapters,								would want to add the			include case reports,	
	textbooks, peer								words "development,		words "development,	reviews, and book	
	reviewed papers,								production, and		production, and	chapters.	
	and/or innovative								implementation of new		implementation of new	chapters.	
	teaching materials								curricula, educational		curricula, educational		
	(new curricula,								programs" Should		programs" Should		
	educational								be well documented		be well documented		
	programs, syllabi,								and included materials		and included materials		
	video materials,								submitted within the		submitted within the		
	computer programs,								Faculty Intramural		Faculty Intramural		
	etc.) that influence								Teaching Effort		Teaching Effort		
	the science and								Report.		Report.		
	practice of medicine								Innovative educational		Innovative educational		
	at the regional &								materials may also		materials may also		
	national levels								include MED ED		include MED ED		
	national 10 (Old								portal contributions,		portal contributions,		
									web-based curriculum,		web-based curriculum,		
									curriculum for national		curriculum for national		
									specialty		specialty		
									organizations, patents		organizations, patents		
									and the like and needs		and the like and needs		
									to be clearly		to be clearly		
									documented.		documented.		
10) Continued	R		R			R	First, second, last, and		First, second, last, and			
	publication of							corresponding author		corresponding author			
	important and							are uniformly		are uniformly			
	original clinical							considered to be		considered to be			
	and/or laboratory							"significant"		"significant"			
	investigations with							authorship. Significant		authorship. Significant			
	significant							authorship can also be		authorship can also be			
	authorship.							justified in other ways;		justified in other ways;			
								in such cases, there		in such cases, there			
								should be detail of the		should be detail of the			
								individual's		individual's			
								contribution to the		contribution to the			
								paper included in both		paper included in both			
								the department chair		the department chair			
								letter and the personal		letter and the personal			
								statement, similar to		statement, similar to			
								the new NIH biosketch		the new NIH biosketch			
								guidelines'		guidelines'			
								requirement for a		requirement for a			
								description of key		description of key			
								contributions (section		contributions (section			

8

	Professor	Academic	Academic	Academic	Clinician	Modified –	Modified -	Academic	Academic Invest/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research
		Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator Recommendations	Recommendations:	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
								C) - e.g. under the		C) - e.g. under the			
								team science model,		team science model,			
								the faculty member		the faculty member			
								was a key member of		was a key member of			
								the authorship team.		the authorship team.			
								The impact of the		The impact of the			
								journal and/or the		journal and/or the			
								article would also		article would also			
								influence the		influence the			
								"significance"		"significance"			
								attributed to a		attributed to a			
								publication.		publication.			
11	Publications with	≥10		≥10			≥10	First, second, last, and		First, second, last, and			First, second, last, and
	significant	≥30		≥30			≥30	corresponding author		corresponding author			corresponding author
	authorship since							are uniformly		are uniformly			are uniformly
	promotion to							considered to be		considered to be			considered to be
	Associate Professor							"significant"		"significant"			"significant"
	(line 1), and in total							authorship. Significant		authorship. Significant			authorship. Significant
	(line 2)							authorship can also be		authorship can also be			authorship can also be
								justified in other ways;		justified in other ways;			justified in other ways;
								in such cases, there		in such cases, there			in such cases, there
								should be detail of the		should be detail of the			should be detail of the
								individual's		individual's			individual's
								contribution to the		contribution to the			contribution to the
								paper included in both		paper included in both			paper included in both
								the department chair letter and the personal		the department chair letter and the personal			the department chair letter and the personal
								statement, similar to		statement, similar to			statement, similar to
								the new NIH biosketch		the new NIH biosketch			the new NIH biosketch
								guidelines'		guidelines'			guidelines'
								requirement for a		requirement for a			requirement for a
								description of key		description of key			description of key
								contributions (section		contributions (section			contributions (section
								C) - e.g. under the		C) - e.g. under the			C) - e.g. under the team
								team science model,		team science model,			science model, the
								the faculty member		the faculty member			faculty member was a
								was a key member of		was a key member of			key member of the
								the authorship team.		the authorship team.			authorship team. The
								The impact of the		The impact of the			impact of the journal
								journal and/or the		journal and/or the			and/or the article
								article would also		article would also			would also influence
								influence the		influence the			the "significance"
								"significance"		"significance"			attributed to a
								attributed to a		attributed to a			publication. Defined
								publication. Defined		publication. Defined			as peer-reviewed
								as peer-reviewed		as peer-reviewed			publications.

	P	<mark>rofessor</mark>	Academic	Academic		Clinician	Modified –		Academic	Academic Invest/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research
			Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator	Recommendations:	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
L									Recommendations					
									publications.		publications.			
1		Publications with		≥5		≥5				Items must have been		Items must have been		
		uthorship since		≥10		≥10				accepted for		accepted for		
		romotion to								publication. Would		publication. Would		
		Associate Professor								include case reports,		include case reports,		
		line 1), and in total								reviews, and book		reviews, and book		
	()	line 2)								chapters.		chapters.		
1	3 N	Vational	R	R	R	R	S	S	Requires some, but not	Education-specific	Requires some, but not	Education-specific		
		ecognition, as						-	necessarily all of the	items could include	necessarily all of the	items could include		
	e	videnced by							items listed.	invitations to write	items listed.	invitations to write		
		lection to							Additional evidence	questions for national	Additional evidence	questions for national		
	0	eneralist or							includes membership	credentialing exams	includes membership	credentialing exams		
		pecialty societies,								(NBME) or serving as	on national task forces,	(NBME) or serving as		
		ervice on national							guidelines committees,		guidelines committees,	an accreditation site		
		ommittees, study ections, editorial							national awards.	visitor, participation in development of	national awards.	visitor, participation in development of		
		oards, visiting								national guidelines,		national guidelines,		
		rofessorships,								invited speaker at		invited speaker at		
		nd/or invitations to								national/international		national/international		
		peak in CME								meetings (not just		meetings (not just		
		ourses.								CME courses).		CME courses).		
1		eadership roles in		R	R	R	R	S					Role in leadership or	
		ppropriate											supervision of a	
		epartment, hospital											multidisciplinary team	
	a	nd college											of allied health	
													professionals (ie	
													nurses, patient care	
													coordinators,	
													respiratory therapists, etc.) would count, as	
													would committee	
													leadership.	
ᆫ											1		reactomp.	

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
1	Continues to meet all the criteria for Assistant Professor with a record of achievement in research, teaching, and/or clinical service. (Participation in interprofessional teaching and interdisciplinary research encouraged)*	R*	R*	R*	R*	R	R	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between three to ten years following appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between three to ten years following appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Demonstration of achievements and scholarly activity in education must be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between three to ten years following appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between three to ten years following appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Demonstration of achievements and scholarly activity in education must be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and	appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and	Typically, promotion would be expected to occur between three to ten years following appointment as Assistant Professor. Time in rank at previous institutions typically would be included in this calculation. Variation from that timeframe should be explained in detail by both the department chair and the faculty member seeking promotion. Teaching not required. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.
2	Record of excellence in high quality patient care, teaching and/or research	R	R	R	R	R	R	If teaching evaluations are being included as evidence of excellence in teaching, they should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source, and they should be included in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Extramural teaching would also be considered.	and quality should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 15. Evaluations should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate	If teaching evaluations are being included as evidence of excellence in teaching, they should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source, and they should be included in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Extramural teaching would also be considered.	promotion at all levels. Evidence of excellence and quality should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 15. Evaluations should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source. Extramural teaching would also be considered.		

R=Required S=Suggested

	Associate Professor	Academic	Academic	Academic	Clinician	Modified -	Modified -	Academic	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research
		Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
								Recommendations					
3	Established	R		R			R	Service as core leader		Service as core leader			Teaching not required.
	independent							or program project PI		or program project PI			This does not
	investigator with							should also be		should also be			necessarily require PI-
	major impact in							considered.		considered.			level funding of R01 at
	planning/developme									This does not			the Associate Professor
	nt of research									necessarily require PI-			level. Additional
	project. Involved in									level funding of R01 at			evidence includes being
	teaching activities,									the Associate			corresponding or senior
	including formal									Professor level.			author on manuscripts
	lectures, grand									Additional evidence			
	rounds, and/or									includes being			
	continuing medical									corresponding or			
	education.									senior author on			
										manuscripts.			

	Associate Professor	Academic	Academic	Academic			Modified –	Academic	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research
		Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
								Recommendations					
4	Principal investigator	R		S			S	Service as core leader		This does not			
	on significant							or program project PI		necessarily require PI-			
	research grants							should also be		level funding of R01 at			
								considered.		the Associate			
										Professor level.			
										Additional evidence of			
										being an independent			
										investigator could			
										include PI of			
										individual K career			
										development award (or			
										equivalent			
										Foundation/Federal			
										award). Core leader or			
										program project PI			
										should also be			
										considered. PI of			
										investigator initiated			
										Industry grant. PI of			
										institutional grants (ie			
										SCTR grants, pilot			
										awards, etc) would not			
										qualify. Site PI of			
										industry grant would			
										qualify if PI is			
										significantly involved			
										in study design,			
										implementation,			
										analysis team and/or			
										writing committee			
										(manuscript			
										preparation). The			
										significant role of the			
			1							PI in these studies			
										should be addressed in			
			1							the Department Chair			
										letter. In general,			
			1							studies in which the			
			1							investigator has			
										minimal % effort			
			1							(<5%) do not qualify.			
			1							Exceptions are VA			
			1							funding in which %			
										effort is not allowed.			
5	Co-investigator on		R	R			S		Funded research	Funded research			
	research grants.								related to education	related to education			

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
								recommendations	would count toward this criterion.	would count toward this criterion.			
6	Local, regional or national grant support for independent research or development of teaching methods, or health care delivery methods, or clinical care systems		S		S		S		Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered.		Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered.		Service as core leader or program project PI should also be considered.
7	Peer recognition for research activities including invitations to present work at other universities, workshops and scientific conferences.	R		R			R	Evidence of a regional reputation. Evidence includes invited presentations to regional or national meetings, visiting professorships, evidence of regional or national collaborations (demonstrated by grant funding or publication record).		Evidence of a regional reputation. Evidence includes invited presentations to regional or national meetings, visiting professorships, evidence of regional or national collaborations (demonstrated by grant funding or publication record).			Evidence of a regional reputation. Evidence includes invited presentations to regional or national meetings, visiting professorships, evidence of regional or national collaborations (demonstrated by grant funding or publication record).
8	Direct involvement in research.	R	R	R	R		R	Could include research on educational methods, outcomes and quality improvement.	Could include research on educational methods, outcomes and quality improvement.	Could include research on educational methods, outcomes and quality improvement.	Could include research on educational methods, outcomes and quality improvement, as well as involvement in clinical trials. A significant contribution to many different kinds of research will suffice.		
9	Organization of clinical services to provide a setting for medical education and a data base for clinical research.				R	S					Organization of clinical services to provide a setting for medical education and/or a database for clinical research. A significant contribution to either will suffice.		
10	Active in training of students and/or post-graduates.	R	R	R	R	S	S	Could include large and small group teaching, bedside	Could include large and small group teaching, bedside	Could include large and small group teaching, bedside	Could include large and small group teaching, bedside	Could include large and small group teaching, bedside	Could include large and small group teaching, and includes UME,

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
								teaching, and includes UME, GME, and CME. "Active" indicates a record of responsibility that may be primary or shared. Should be well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	be primary or shared.	teaching, and includes UME, GME, and CME. "Active" indicates a record of responsibility that may be primary or shared. Should be well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	teaching, and includes UME, GME, and CME. "Active" indicates a record of responsibility that may be primary or shared. Should be well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	teaching, and includes UME, GME, and CME.	GME, and CME. Could include training in a laboratory setting.
11	Serves as Course Director for one or more major professional courses		S						Institutional equivalents for "Course Director" would include Themes, Elective or Required Courses/Rotations, and Units/Blocks in the Medical and Graduate Curricula. Should be well documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.				
12	Important contributor to course development or course direction.		R		S				"Important Contributor" would indicate responsibility for a segment requiring expertise or knowledge in a discipline within a course equivalent described in Item 11. UME clerkship, elective rotation and GME rotation, courses would also be included.		"Important contributor" would indicate responsibility for a segment requiring expertise or knowledge in a discipline within a course equivalent described in Item 11. UME clerkship, elective rotation and GME rotation, courses would also be included.		
13	Superior evaluations of teaching by students, residents, peers, course directors, dept. chairs.	S	R	S	R	S		If teaching evaluations are being included as evidence of excellence in teaching, they should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source,	Evidence of excellence should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 15.	If teaching evaluations are being included as evidence of excellence in teaching, they should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source,	Evidence of excellence should include E*Value evaluations when available as requested in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 15.		

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician		Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
								and they should be included in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Letters from course directors should also be included in the Teaching Effort Report. Other evidence includes being the recipient of teaching awards.		and they should be included in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Letters from course directors should also be included in the Teaching Effort Report. Other evidence includes being the recipient of teaching awards.	Evaluations should be obtained from OAE or other appropriate centrally administered source. Duplicates Item 2.		
	Nominated for or recipient of teaching awards.		S		S				Awards should be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 10 to include name, level (university, college, department, division, etc.) and date.		Awards should be documented in the Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report, Item 10 to include name, level (university, college, department, division, etc.) and date.		
	Presentations at national/international meetings.	R	R	R	R		S			Being presenting author of research abstracts (platform or poster) are included. Presenting at national and/or important regional meetings (outside of Charleston) are included. Charleston is often the site of many prominent meetings; however, the invitation to speak should not be based on your geographic location and thus for this requirement you should have some non-Charleston area presentations as well.			
16	Continued publication of reviews, chapters, textbooks, peer reviewed papers,	R	R	R	R		R	In addition to the publications noted, we would want to add the words "development, production, and	In addition to the publications noted, we would want to add the words "development, production, and	In addition to the publications noted, we would want to add the words "development, production, and	In addition to the publications noted, we would want to add the words "development, production, and		No teaching required

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
	and/or innovative							implementation of new	implementation of new	implementation of new	implementation of new		
	teaching materials							curricula, educational	curricula, educational	curricula, educational	curricula, educational		
	(new curricula,							programs Should	programs Should	programs Should	programs Should		
	educational							be well documented	be well documented	be well documented	be well documented		
	programs, syllabi,							and included materials	and included materials	and included materials	and included materials		
	video materials,							submitted within the	submitted within the	submitted within the	submitted within the		
	computer programs,							Faculty Intramural	Faculty Intramural	Faculty Intramural	Faculty Intramural		
	etc.) that influence							Teaching Effort	Teaching Effort	Teaching Effort	Teaching Effort		
	the science and							Report.	Report.	Report.	Report.		
	practice of medicine							Would encourage and	Would encourage and	Would encourage and	Would encourage and		
	at the regional &							value educational	value educational	value educational	value educational		
	national levels							products that are peer	products that are peer	products that are peer	products that are peer		
								reviewed by groups	reviewed by groups	reviewed by groups	reviewed by groups		
								such as MedEdPortal.	such as MedEdPortal.	such as MedEdPortal.	such as MedEdPortal.		
17	Continued	R	R	R			R	First, second, last, and	First, second, last, and	First, second, last, and			First, second, last, and
	publication of							corresponding author	corresponding author	corresponding author			corresponding author are
	important and							are uniformly	are uniformly	are uniformly			uniformly considered to
	original clinical							considered to be	considered to be	considered to be			be "significant"
	and/or laboratory							"significant"	"significant"	"significant"			authorship. Significant
	investigations with							authorship. Significant	authorship. Significant	authorship.			authorship can also be
	significant							authorship can also be	authorship can also be	Significant authorship			justified in other ways;
	authorship.							•	justified in other ways;	can also be justified in			in such cases, there
								in such cases, there	in such cases, there	other ways; in such			should be detail of the
								should be detail of the	should be detail of the	cases, there should be			individual's contribution
								individual's	individual's	detail of the			to the paper included in
								contribution to the	contribution to the	individual's			both the department
								paper included in both	paper included in both	contribution to the			chair letter and the
								the department chair	the department chair	paper included in both			personal statement,
								letter and the personal	letter and the personal	the department chair			similar to the new NIH
								statement, similar to	statement, similar to	letter and the personal			biosketch guidelines'
									the new NIH biosketch	statement, similar to			requirement for a
								guidelines'	guidelines'	the new NIH biosketch			description of key
								requirement for a	requirement for a	guidelines'			contributions (section C)
								description of key	description of key	requirement for a			- e.g. under the team
								contributions (section	contributions (section	description of key			science model, the
								C) - e.g. under the	C) - e.g. under the	contributions (section			faculty member was a
								team science model,	team science model,	C) - e.g. under the			key member of the
								the faculty member	the faculty member was a key member of	team science model, the faculty member			authorship team. The impact of the journal
								was a key member of					and/or the article would
								the authorship team. The impact of the	the authorship team. The impact of the	was a key member of the authorship team.			also influence the
								journal and/or the	journal and/or the	The impact of the			"significance" attributed
								article would also	article would also	journal and/or the			to a publication.
								influence the	influence the	article would also			to a publication.
								"significance"	"significance"	influence the			
								attributed to a	attributed to a	"significance"			

R=Required S=Suggested

20

	As	ssociate Professor	Academic	Academic	Academic	Clinician	Modified –	Modified –	Academic	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research
			Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
									Recommendations					
Γ									publication.	publication.	attributed to a			
											publication.			
1	8 To	otal publications	≥10		≥10			≥10	First, second, last, and		First, second, last, and			First, second, last, and
	wi	ith significant							corresponding author		corresponding author			corresponding author are
	au	thorship since last							are uniformly		are uniformly			uniformly considered to
	pro	omotion							considered to be		considered to be			be "significant"
									"significant"		"significant"			authorship. Significant
									authorship. Significant		authorship.			authorship can also be
									authorship can also be		Significant authorship			justified in other ways;
									justified in other ways;		can also be justified in			in such cases, there
									in such cases, there		other ways; in such			should be detail of the
									should be detail of the		cases, there should be			individual's contribution
									individual's		detail of the			to the paper included in
									contribution to the		individual's			both the department
									paper included in both		contribution to the			chair letter and the
									the department chair		paper included in both			personal statement,
									letter and the personal		the department chair			similar to the new NIH
									statement, similar to		letter and the personal			biosketch guidelines'
									the new NIH biosketch		statement, similar to			requirement for a
									guidelines'		the new NIH biosketch			description of key
									requirement for a		guidelines'			contributions (section C)
									description of key		requirement for a			- e.g. under the team
									contributions (section		description of key			science model, the
									C) - e.g. under the		contributions (section			faculty member was a
									team science model,		C) - e.g. under the			key member of the
									the faculty member was a key member of		team science model, the faculty member			authorship team. The impact of the journal
									the authorship team.		was a key member of			and/or the article would
									The impact of the		the authorship team.			also influence the
									journal and/or the		The impact of the			"significance" attributed
									article would also		journal and/or the			to a publication.
									influence the		article would also			to a publication.
									"significance"		influence the			
									attributed to a		"significance"			
									publication.		attributed to a			
									publication.		publication.			
1	9 To	otal publications		≥5		≥5				Defined as peer-		Defined as peer-		
1		ith authorship since		_5		_5				reviewed publications,		reviewed publications,		
		st promotion								case reports, reviews,		case reports, reviews,		
	1413	or promotion								and book chapters.		and book chapters.		
2	0 De	evelopment of new		R		R				This duplicates Item		This duplicates Item		
1		aching materials,								16 above but does		16 above but does		
		ch as curricula,								include the term		include the term		
		lucational								"development." We		"development." We		
		ograms, textbooks,								prefer "development,		prefer "development,		
		llabi, computer								production, and		production, and		
_	55.	, p atter			L			l	l		l			

	Associate Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
	programs and video								implementation" of teaching materials		implementation" of teaching materials		
21	Established reputation inside and outside local institution as an authority in a clinical specialty or for leadership in primary care			S	R	R			teaching materials	Regional reputation (evidenced by speaking presentations, patient referrals for care, evidence that they are the "go-to person for particular clinical problem (must be documented in letter),	Regional reputation (evidenced by speaking presentations, patient referrals for care, evidence that they are the "go-to person for particular clinical problem (must be documented in letter),		
22	Contributions to committees at department, college, university, community, state, regional, national and international levels	R Univ Level	R Univ Level	R State Level	R State Level	S	S						
23	Leadership role in department and hospital as a section or division head, or program director			S	S								
24	Active involvement in local and national professional organizations	R	R	R	R	S	S	This criterion is not likely to be satisfied by membership alone, but implies service to the organization and profession by taking on some role within, or providing additional service to, the organization, such as committee membership, member of taskforce, or working group, etc.	This criterion is not likely to be satisfied by membership alone, but implies service to the organization and profession by taking on some role within, or providing additional service to, the organization, such as committee membership, member of taskforce, or working group, etc.	This criterion is not likely to be satisfied by membership alone, but implies service to the organization and profession by taking on some role within, or providing additional service to, the organization, such as committee membership, member of taskforce, or working group, etc	This criterion is not likely to be satisfied by membership alone, but implies service to the organization and profession by taking on some role within, or providing additional service to, the organization, such as committee membership, member of taskforce, or working group, etc.		
25	Election to scientific organizations in discipline.	S	S	S	S								

	Assistant Professor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
1	Clear commitment to an academic career in research, teaching and/or clinical care.	R	R	R	R	R	R	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Teaching not required. Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.
2	Commitment to and potential for performing independent laboratory and/or clinical research.	R	R	R			R						
3	Receipt, active pursuit or development of the skills necessary to apply for local, regional and national grants.	R	R	R			R						
4	Developing skills for directing or contributing to publications related to research, teaching and/or clinical care. (Participation in interprofessional teaching and inter- disciplinary research encouraged)*	R*	R*	R*	R*	S	R						Teaching not required.
5	Active in training of students and/or post-graduates.	R	R	R	R	S	S	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.	As evidenced by documented activity in the CV and Faculty Intramural Teaching Effort Report.		
6	Strong interest in teaching.		S			S	S		Document teaching efforts, participation in faculty development in the area of education through symposia, membership as a				

	Assistant Professor	Academic Investigator		Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations:	Academic Clinician Recommendations	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
									member of AME, etc.				
7	Contributions as first author on refereed publications.	R	R	S			R						
8	Contributions as author on refereed publications.			R	S		R						
9	Capable of managing most clinical problems in the appropriate discipline, but may seek assistance from senior faculty when dealing with complex problems.			R	R	R							
10	Carry a heavy clinical load				R	R							
11	Establishing recognition through candidacy or membership in appropriate professional and scientific organizations.	R	R	R	R								

	Instructor	Academic Investigator	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified – Research	Academic Investigator Recommendations	Academic Inv/Ed Recommendations	Academic Clinician Recommendation	Clinician Educator Recommendations	Modified – Clinical Recommendations	Modified – Research Recommendations
1	Completion of educational requirements necessary to enter a career in academic research, teaching and/or clinical care.	R	R	R	R	R	R	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.	Professional behavior is a requirement for appointment and promotion at all levels.
2	Aptitude for an academic career based upon recommendations of mentors.	R	R	R	R	R	R						
3	Career goal to function independently in an academic environment as an investigator, teacher, and/or clinician.	R	R	R	R	R	R						
4	Developing experience with preparation of research protocols and grant applications.	R	R	R			R						
5	Demonstrated interest in teaching.	R	R	R	R	S		faculty development in	Document teaching efforts, participation in faculty development in the area of education through symposia, membership as a mentored member of AME, etc.	Document teaching efforts, participation in faculty development in the area of education through symposia, membership as a mentored member of AME, etc.	Document teaching efforts, participation in faculty development in the area of education through symposia, membership as a mentored member of AME, etc.		
6	Early experience with preparation of publications and presentations related to research.	R	R	R			S						
7	Fulfilled educational requirements for certification by appropriate specialty board.			R	R	R							
8	Demonstrated				R	R							

Instructor	Academic	Academic	Academic	Clinician	Modified –	Modified -	Academic	Academic Inv/Ed	Academic Clinician	Clinician Educator	Modified – Clinical	Modified - Research
	Investigator	Inv/Ed	Clinician	Educator	Clinical	Research	Investigator	Recommendations	Recommendation	Recommendations	Recommendations	Recommendations
							Recommendations					
interest in high												
quality clinical care.												

R=Required S=Suggested 28